

From: **Dallas Jenkins**

Date: Sun, Mar 10, 2019 at 6:04 PM

Subject: Re: Letters

To: [REDACTED]

I strongly disagree that Julie is the best vehicle for that. She's done immense damage to many people, and she has a personal agenda.

The reason Sam Booras and others didn't want to GIVE the letters to all the elders was because there were daily leaks. They were willing to read them, but not give them. They knew that guys like Dunwoody would give the letters to outsiders, and after you gave the letters to all the elders, that's exactly what happened. They were immediately leaked to people like Julie and the E-debt guys.

And now that even though the MacDonalds are gone, and the EC soon to follow, which was our goal, these twitter trolls and bloggers want to keep piling on even though the six of us don't want to make our letters public. For many reasons.

I couldn't care less about myself--I can handle the attacks and think they're entertaining. But people like Sandy Song and Jacob Ross didn't want this. I outright asked them and Sumpter if they wanted to go public, and they said no. And Julie is taking that choice away from them.

If bad info should be made public, fine, but the elders should present a list of the wrong things done that impact the congregation; not working with selfish, agenda-driven, judgmental people like Roys and Mahoney.



Dallas Jenkins on [Tue Mar 19, 2019 at 01:44 PM](#) said:

Edit

Neither Dean Butters nor I wanted our letters published. **Our goals in writing these letters were accomplished** and it's unfortunate that people are making them public. There have been many people demanding our statements be made public, and now that they are, are rejoicing in that, all while commenting from anonymous online handles. I wish that the decision to be a public figure in this scandal was left to the individual, not to third parties.

[\(Quote selected text\)](#) [\(Reply\)](#)